

Two Super–Unified Constructions Linking Infosophy and Null Unity

Hrishi Mukheree & Gösta Greimel

November 3, 2025

Abstract

We present two complementary super–unified equations connecting the Infosophic Field Formula (Infosophy) and the Null Unity framework. In the first, *Null Unity within Infosophy*, the Infosophic generator produces a field that Null Unity collapses to geometry. In the second, *Infosophy within Null Unity*, Null Unity is the primary machinery while Infosophy selects and steers which bilateral/topological route achieves the collapse. Both constructions terminate in the same geometric fixed point, ds^2 , and yield domain–level interpretations for GR, QM, and EM. We cite the original Null Unity and Infosophy sources throughout. [1, 2]

1 Background: Components from the Source Theories

From Infosophy (generator)

Infosophy posits an adaptive resonance engine with intent and coherence control:

$$\Psi(x, t) = \mathcal{R}_{\text{adapt}}(\mathcal{I}_{\text{opt}} \circ \mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{C}(\Psi), \mathcal{A}_{\text{intent}}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n(\Psi) \mathcal{I}_n(\Psi) \phi_n(x, t; F(\Psi), \chi(\Psi), Q(\Psi)),$$

where \mathcal{D}_0 is *Dynamic Nothingness*, \mathcal{I}_{opt} is Pareto–optimized, self–referential information, $\mathcal{C}(\Psi)$ is coherence (ledger–like validation), and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{intent}}$ is awareness/intent. [2]

From Null Unity (collapser)

Null Unity asserts multiple bilateral/topological identities that universally reduce expressions to the line–element:

$$\frac{\nabla^{-1}}{\infty} = ds^2, \quad \frac{\emptyset}{\nabla^1 \infty} = ds^2, \quad \frac{\emptyset}{2} = ds^2, \quad \frac{\emptyset}{\pi} = ds^2,$$

and organizes physics so that disparate constructions collapse to the same ds^2 . [1]

2 Super–Unified Equation I: Null Unity within Infosophy

2.1 Definition

$$\boxed{ds^2 = \text{NU} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\text{adapt}}(\mathcal{I}_{\text{opt}} \circ \mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{C}(\Psi), \mathcal{A}_{\text{intent}})} \quad (1)$$

Here, the Infosophic block

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{adapt}}(\mathcal{I}_{\text{opt}} \circ \mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{C}(\Psi), \mathcal{A}_{\text{intent}})$$

generates a candidate field Ψ from Dynamic Nothingness using optimized information, continuously reweighted by coherence and guided by intent. The $\text{NU}[\cdot]$ projector then *collapses* this field to geometry ds^2 .

2.2 Operator meaning

We regard NU as a bilateral/topological projector implementing any of the canonical routes:

$$\text{NU}[X] := \left(\frac{\nabla^{-1}}{\infty} \right) X = \left(\frac{\emptyset}{\nabla^1 \infty} \right) X = \left(\frac{\emptyset}{2} \right) X = \left(\frac{\emptyset}{\pi} \right) X \longrightarrow ds^2.$$

All admissible routes are equivalent at the fixed point.

2.3 Domain reductions

- **GR:** Large–scale, smooth, coherence–selected Ψ collapsed by NU yields curvature constraints on ds^2 (GR–like).
- **QM:** Pareto–dominant modes $\mathcal{I}_n(\Psi)$ with weights $w_n(\Psi)$ survive to geometry under NU; amplitudes/phases reflect coherence selection prior to collapse.
- **EM:** π –null (and half–null) routes encode $U(1)$ holonomy/flux quantization as topological invariants of the collapse to ds^2 .

3 Super–Unified Equation II: Infosophy within Null Unity

3.1 Definition and selection logic

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{ O_k \} = \{ \frac{\nabla^{-1}}{\infty}, \frac{\emptyset}{\nabla^1 \infty}, \frac{\emptyset}{2}, \frac{\emptyset}{\pi} \}$. Define the *Null Unity selector* (governed by intent/coherence):

$$\boxed{ds^2 = \text{NU}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}[\mathcal{I}_{\text{opt}} \circ \mathcal{D}_0]} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{NU}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}(X) := O_{k^*}(X) \rightarrow ds^2, \quad k^* = \arg \max_{k \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{C}(\Psi_k \mid \mathcal{A}_{\text{intent}}), \quad \Psi_k := O_k[X]. \quad (3)$$

Here, Null Unity is *primary*; Infosophy appears as: (i) the construction of the input $X = \mathcal{I}_{\text{opt}} \circ \mathcal{D}_0$, and (ii) the *selector* that, using coherence \mathcal{C} and intent $\mathcal{A}_{\text{intent}}$, chooses which O_k route collapses X to ds^2 .

3.2 Domain reductions

- **GR:** When \mathcal{C} favors smooth, large-scale coherence, the selector tends to pick inverse-gradient routes $O_k = \nabla^{-1}/\infty$, producing GR-compatible ds^2 .
- **QM:** When \mathcal{C} emphasizes mode-wise stability under \mathcal{I}_{opt} (Pareto dominance), the chosen route preserves admissible amplitudes until collapse, encoding “measurement” as coherence-survival to ds^2 .
- **EM:** When \mathcal{C} prizes topological regularity, the selector picks π -null or half-null routes, enforcing $U(1)$ holonomy and flux quantization at collapse.

4 Synthesis and Contrast

Both super-unified equations terminate at the same geometric invariant:

$$\forall \text{ admissible routes,} \quad \Psi \xrightarrow{\text{NU}} ds^2.$$

Their difference is governance:

- *Null Unity within Infosophy:* Infosophy *generates*, Null Unity *collapses* (1).
- *Infosophy within Null Unity:* Null Unity *governs*, Infosophy *selects/steers* (2).

In both, GR/QM/EM appear as constraint regimes of the geometric fixed point ds^2 .

References

- [1] Mukherjee, Hrishi. *The Null Unity System of Equations*. Simulon Research Group, 2025.
- [2] Greimel, Gösta. *Übersetzte Kopie von “Infosophische Feldformel”* (Translated copy of “Infosophic Field Formula”), 2025.